UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,077	09/07/2003	Chien-Sheng Yang	ADTP0119USA	2076

27765 7590 04/10/2008

NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION
P.O. BOX 506
MERRIFIELD, VA 22116

EXAMINER	
CALEY, MICHAEL H	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2871	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
04/10/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com
Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com
mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/605,077	YANG, CHIEN-SHENG	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL H. CALEY	2871	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE *3* MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *22 January 2008*.

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-5* is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-5* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on *07 September 2003* is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☒ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okuzono et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0043178 "Okuzono").

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Okuzono discloses a method for manufacturing a thin film transistor liquid crystal display comprising:

a panel (Figure 1 element 104);

a plurality of display cells (5), each display cell having at least a thin film transistor (100);

a timing control circuit (8) for generating a timing signal (DCK, SP, VCK, VOE); and

a plurality of logic circuits (7 and 9) for controlling operations of the display cells according to the timing signal;

the method comprising:

forming the plurality of display cells in the panel (Figure 1);

forming the plurality of logic circuits in the panel (Figure 1); and

determining a location in the panel for forming the timing control circuit so as to make differences among delay time intervals of the timing signals transmitted to different logic circuits less than 1000 microseconds, and forming the timing control circuit accordingly (Page 5 [0058]).

Okuzono fails to discloses the thin film transistor as a polysilicon thin film transistor. Uehara, however, teaches a polysilicon thin film transistor as advantageous to increase operating speed and aperture ratio and to decrease manufacturing cost (Column 1 lines 32-48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the thin film transistor disclosed by Okuzono as a polysilicon thin film transistor. One would have been motivated to form the transistor as a polysilicon thin film transistor to benefit from an increased operating speed and aperture ratio and to decrease manufacturing cost (Column 1 lines 32-48).

Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okuzono in view of Uehara and in further view of Kim (U.S. Patent No. 5,808,596).

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Uehara discloses a plurality of scan lines (102) and data lines (103) connected to the display cells and a scan line driving circuit (106) connected to the plurality of scan lines and a data line driving circuit (106) connected to the plurality of data lines. Uehara fails to disclose first and second data line driving circuits in which data lines of the first and second driving circuits being arranged alternately. Kim, however, teaches such an alternate arrangement (Figure 1 elements 300, 310; abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form first and second data line driving circuits in which data lines of the first and second driving circuits are arranged alternately. One would have been motivated to form the driving circuits and data lines in such a manner to improve the resolution of the display.

Regarding claim 5, Uehara fails to disclose an interface circuit for receiving and transmitting an image signal such that the display cells operate according to the image signal. Kim, however, teaches such an interface circuit (Figure 1 element 500; abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form an interface circuit as taught by Kim in the display disclosed by Uehara. One would have been motivated to add such an interface circuit as a means driving the second data line driver to improve the resolution of the display (Kim: abstract).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/22/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 1-5, Applicant argues that Okuzono fails to disclose location of the timing control circuit so as to make differences among delay time intervals of the timing signals transmitted to different logic circuits as less than 1000 microseconds. Applicant states that Okuzono only teaches the period as 15.6 microseconds and that this time period only pertains to an input signal transmitted to a same driver.

The examiner disagrees with Applicant's analysis of the Okuzono reference. Okuzono discloses the timing signal VCK sent from the timing controller (8) to a first logic circuit (Gate Driver 7) schematically in Figure 1 and in the timing chart in Figure 3 (VCK). Okuzono shows the timing signal SP sent from the timing controller (8) to a different logic circuit (Source Driver 9) in Figure 1 and in the timing chart in Figure 3 (SP). As shown in the timing chart in Figure 3 and described in Paragraph [0058], the difference among delay time intervals of the timing signals VCK and SP is approximately 3.7 microseconds.

Figure 3 clearly shows that the timing signals are transmitted to the different logic circuits such that the difference in delay time intervals of the timing signals is always less than 15.6 microseconds.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL H. CALEY whose telephone number is (571)272-2286. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David C. Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael H. Caley/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2871